

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,566	09/22/2003	Jennifer M. Kurtz	C-3045 1655	
75	90 06/01/2006		EXAM	INER
William W. Jones			PARSONS, THOMAS H	
6 Juniper Lane Madison, CT 06443			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/666,566	KURTZ ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas H. Parsons	1745			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	<u>ay 2006</u> .				
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 3-18 is/are pending in the applic	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 17-19 is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>10-16</u> is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a	are: a)∐ accepted or b)⊠ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		⊢(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ul>	• •				
	application from the International Bureau	•	iu iii iiiis ivalional Stage			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d.			
Attachmen		»□····-	(PTO 440)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/666,566

Art Unit: 1745

## Response to Amendment

This is in response to the Amendment filed 5 May 2006.

## (Previous) DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The rejection of claims 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention has been withdrawn in view of Applicants' Remarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The rejections of claims 1 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 263 052 have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' Amendment.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The rejections of claims 5 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 263 052 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jones et al. (6,739,302) have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' Amendment.
- 4. The rejections of claims 3, 4 and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 263 052 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Mukerjee et al. (6,692,859) have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' Amendment.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,566 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

## (NEW) DETAILED ACTION

## **Drawings**

5. Figure 1 is objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because it includes the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: reference sign "14". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Double Patenting**

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting

Application/Control Number: 10/666,566

Art Unit: 1745

ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claim 9 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 2006/0099464.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

The apparatus of claim 9 differs from claim 1 in that claim 9 fails to disclose thermal insulation which thermally insulates the manifold assembly from ambient temperatures so as to limit moisture condensation in the fuel gas passage. However, the scope of claim 9 is broader than that of claim 1 (i.e. the scope of claim 9 fully encompasses the scope of claim 1). Further, the preamble to claim 1 recites "comprising" which is open-ended language which does not preclude other structurally limitations such as thermal insulation.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas H. Parsons whose telephone number is (571) 272-1290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,566 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas H Parsons Examiner Art Unit 1745

\*\*\*

PRIMARY EXAMINER